

<p>Herman Lee Bridges,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>Accounts Receivable Management, Inc.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p> <p>:</p>	<p>Civil Action No.: _____</p> <p> COMPLAINT</p> <p>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</p>
---	--	---

JURISDICTION

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

Case 1:12-cv-00030-MR-DLH Document 1 Filed 02/16/12 Page 1 of 5

5. Defendant Accounts Receivable Management, Inc. (“Accounts”), is a New Jersey business entity with an address of 155 Mid Atlantic Parkway, Thorofare, New Jersey 08086, operating as a collection agency, and is a “debt collector” as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

6. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation (the “Debt”) to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Accounts for collection, or Accounts was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

9. The Defendant attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Accounts Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

10. Within the last year, Accounts called Plaintiff as often as four (4) times a day at his residential telephone in an attempt to collect the Debt.

11. Accounts placed calls to Plaintiff’s home in rapid succession, often only minutes apart from one another.

12. Plaintiff advised Accounts that he is not in a position to pay the Debt and has asked that Accounts cease calling him in an attempt to collect the Debt.

13. However, despite Plaintiff's request, Accounts has continued to place calls to Plaintiff.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

14. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.

15. As a direct consequence of the Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from anxiety, emotional distress and frustration.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

16. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

17. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.

18. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendant engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.

19. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.

20. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

21. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

COUNT II
VIOLATIONS OF THE NORTH CAROLINA FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70, et seq.

22. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

23. The Plaintiff is a "person" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-6(4).

24. The Defendant is a "collection agency" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-15, and is duly licensed collect debt in the state of North Carolina pursuant to N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-1.

25. The Defendant caused a telephone to ring or engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversation with such frequency as to be unreasonable or to constitute a harassment to the Plaintiff under the circumstances or at times known to be times other than normal waking hours of the Plaintiff, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-100(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;
2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;

3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
4. Actual damages pursuant to N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-130(a);
5. Statutory damages of \$4,000.00 for each violation pursuant to N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-130(b);
6. Actual damages from Defendant for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA and North Carolina state law violations in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff; and
7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: February 16, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Stacie Watson

Stacie Watson, Esq. (Bar No.: 23890)
Law Office of Stacie Watson.
P.O. Box 1412
Apex, North Carolina 27502
Telephone: (919) 522-6128
Facsimile: (919) 439-5308
Email: swatson@leberglaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

Of Counsel To:
LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C.
1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor
Stamford, CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424